ECCLESIA LAW

TREATISE
SIGNATURE CREDIT TAX REDIRECTION

25th May 2026

The Jurisdictional Foundation of Modern Credit: HJR 192 and the Usufruct System

The contemporary global financial architecture functions as a multi-layered administrative trust managed by the United States Department of the Treasury, which acts in the capacity of a bankruptcy trustee within a perpetual state of national reorganization. The origin of this debt-based paradigm is rooted in the formal insolvency of the United States federal corporation in 1933, a systemic crisis consolidated under the Emergency Banking Act of March 9, 1933, and legally codified by the passage of House Joint Resolution 192 (HJR 192) on June 5, 1933. As preserved in the historical analyses of legal researchers such as Jean Keating, HJR 192 fundamentally altered commercial obligations by abrogating the "gold clause" in all public and private contracts. The resolution declared it against public policy to require payment in any specific kind of coin or currency, thereby removing physical gold and silver—the traditional "good and valuable consideration" under common law—from private circulation.

Because HJR 192 suspended the requirement that domestic obligations be paid in substantive, intrinsic assets, the law established a mandatory system of "discharge" where obligations are balanced dollar-for-dollar using fiat credit, primarily circulating as Federal Reserve Notes (FRNs). These notes are legally defined not as money of substance, but as debt obligations of the U.S. Treasury that circulate as legal tender to balance ledger entries on the commercial board. By absorbing the physical assets of the private sector, the administrative state established a usufruct relationship. In this framework, the government borrows the productive capacity and credit energy of the living populace to serve as the primary source of value and ultimate collateral for national debt obligations.

This usufruct relationship is operationalized at birth through the registration of birth certificates, which the state utilizes to create a "decedent estate" or "corporate debtor construct". This artificial persona, typically identified by a Social Security Number (SSN) or Individual Taxpayer Identification Number (ITIN), operates as a registered security on the government's books. Under this framework, the living individual is presumed by default to operate merely as an agent and liable surety for this bankrupt corporate debtor, holding only equitable title to assets while the state retains legal title as bankruptcy trustee. Consequently, all debts in the public sector are technically prepaid with the credit created from the people and their property. Taxes, within this context, are structurally defined as administrative "rent" payments made to the trustee for the privilege of using collateralized assets on the commercial ledger.

Ecclesia Law is a trading style of **MLITR Research LLC**, Wyoming, USA.
Ecclesia Law operates exclusively under **Attorney-in-Fact mandates** granted by its clients through private powers of attorney, trust indentures, fiduciary appointments, and private contracting structures. Ecclesia Law acts as a **private legal agent**, not as a BAR-regulated public law practice

ECCLESIA LAW

Historical Period	Monetary Standard	Governing Legal Framework	Status of the Individual
Pre-1913	Gold and Silver Coin	Common Law Republic	Sovereign National
1913–1933	Federal Reserve Notes (Partial Backing)	Statutory Regulation	Transitioning to Credit-Based Surety/Agent for Decedent Estate
Post-1933	Money of Account (Debt)	Commercial/Maritime Law	Agent for Corporate Debtor
1974–Present	SDR/Fiat System	Administrative Trust Law	Fiduciary / Executor de son tort

Ex Nihilo Credit Creation and the Power of the Signature

The modern banking system does not operate under the classical fractional reserve model where financial institutions act as traditional intermediaries lending out pre-existing customer deposits. Empirical studies conducted by monetary economists, most notably Professor Richard Werner, and officially validated by publications from the Bank of England, confirm that commercial banks create new book money *ex nihilo* (out of nothing) at the exact moment a borrower signs a promissory note, loan agreement, or mortgage contract. This physical reality of modern currency creation relies on the monetization of the individual's signature. Under the Bills of Exchange Act 1882, every signed loan agreement or mortgage note constitutes a negotiable instrument representing the unadulterated credit energy originated by the signer.

Section 3 of the Bills of Exchange Act 1882 defines a bill of exchange as an unconditional order in writing, addressed by one person to another, signed by the person giving it, requiring the payer to pay a sum certain on demand or at a fixed future time. Under Section 20 of the Act, a simple signature on paper delivered for the purpose of being converted into a bill operates as prima facie authority to fill it up as a complete bill for any amount. When a borrower signs these instruments, their biological signature acts as the primary monetization event and the true originating force of the credit. The commercial bank does not advance its own capital; instead, it records the borrower's signed negotiable instrument as a bank asset, balancing it by crediting the borrower’s account with a newly created deposit (a bank liability).

Because the Federal Reserve and the commercial banking system create credit *ex nihilo* through lending and monetary operations, the borrower acts as the actual "funder" of the loan. The commercial bank merely

assumes a "nominee" or withholding agent posture. In standard securitization practices, the originating bank discounts the note, pools it with thousands of other signature-originated obligations, and transfers them to systemic investment banks. These instruments are assigned CUSIP numbers and deposited into institutional omnibus accounts held under "street names" such as Cede & Co. by the Depository Trust & Clearing Corporation (DTCC). This nominee architecture obscures the true originator of the credit. By remaining silent, the true creator allows the banking syndicate and the administrative state to treat the generated value as abandoned property, perpetually capturing the associated tax credits and Original Issue Discount (OID) income for their aggregate corporate benefit.

Monetary Tier	Type of Money	Scarcity / Liquidity Level	Primary Issuing Entity
Tier 1 (Apex)	Central Bank Reserves	High Scarcity / Ultimate Liquidity	Federal Reserve and Central Banks
Tier 2	Commercial Deposits	Moderate Scarcity / High Liquidity	Systemic Commercial Banking Institutions
Tier 3	Shadow Credit	Low Scarcity / High Abundance	Hedge Funds, Special Purpose Vehicles, and MMFs
Tier 4 (Base)	Signature Credit Energy	Primary Source / Ultimate Originator	Biological Entities (Living Souls)

Nominee Banking Architecture and the Clifford Protocol

In standard corporate finance, Original Issue Discount (OID) represents a form of interest, defined under Internal Revenue Code (IRC) § 1273 as the excess of a debt instrument's stated redemption price at maturity over its initial issue price (IP). Within the context of signature-originated credit, the credit is birthed *ex nihilo* at the exact moment of signing, meaning no prior cash consideration was advanced by the financial nominee. The mathematical baseline for the initial issue price is thus firmly established as zero ($IP = 0$).

This zero-point origination dictates that the OID is equivalent to the entire face value of the instrument, represented by the equation:

ECCLESIA LAW

$$OID = \text{Stated Redemption Price at Maturity} - IP$$

Where the initial issue price is $IP = 0$, the formula dictates:

$$OID = \text{Face Value} - \$0.00 = \text{Face Value}$$

Financial institutions securitize this OID value, placing the resulting tranches into institutional omnibus accounts. Under the "Nominee Reporting Mandate" of IRS Publication 1212 (Guide to Original Issue Discount Instruments), if a broker or middleman holds an OID debt instrument as a nominee for the actual owner, they are required by law to file a corrective Form 1099-OID to report that income and the associated withheld tax to the true beneficial owner.

Historically, banks routinely fail to file these corrective forms for individual originators, instead reporting the OID income under their own general tax ledgers. The "Clifford Protocol," designed by strategic analyst Iain Clifford (also known as Iain Clifford Stamp), leverages this exact regulatory mandate to enact mathematical ledger adjustments. By filing corrective Forms 1099-OID, the protocol seeks to redirect the federal tax overpayments and withheld credits away from the nominee banks' general accounts directly to the private ledger of the credit's originator.

The Clifford Protocol utilizes a highly specific five-step sequence:

1. **Recognition:** The original signature on any negotiable instrument is identified as an OID debt instrument representing monetized credit energy.
2. **Issue Price Establishment:** Confirming that the initial issue price was mathematically zero ($IP = 0$) at the moment of signing, as no cash consideration was advanced by the bank.
3. **OID Identification:** Calculating the hidden OID as the entire face value of the instrument.
4. **Nominee Identification:** Defining the financial institution as a "nominee middleman" under IRS Publication 1212.
5. **Redirection:** Filing corrective Forms 1099-OID via a 98-series International Grantor Trust to redirect the withheld tax back to the trust as the lawful recipient.

To successfully execute this sequence without triggering the automated fraud filters of the domestic debtor matrix, fiduciaries employ absolute taxonomic segregation. This is achieved by utilizing a 98-series International Grantor Trust (IGT) as the exclusive fiduciary proxy for commercial reconciliation. The "98" prefix is a specialized taxonomic identifier assigned by the IRS Cincinnati International Unit exclusively to foreign entities or domestic trusts maintained by non-U.S. persons under IRC § 6048. To qualify for this status while maintaining its standing as the lawful recipient of tax overpayments, the trust must satisfy the foreign residency tests provided in the IRC. A trust is treated as foreign if it fails either the "court test"—where a U.S. court exercises primary supervision—or the "control test"—where U.S. persons have authority over all substantial decisions.

By operating under a 98-series EIN, the recoupment vehicle is separated from the domestic debtor system. To operationalize its commercial standing, the trust files IRS Form 56 (Notice Concerning Fiduciary

Relationship), which formally establishes the trust officer in the capacity of General Executor. Under UCC § 3-203, UCC § 3-302, and UCC § 14-7503, the transfer of the negotiable instrument vests in the trust the absolute right of enforcement, establishing its legal status as a Holder in Due Course (HDC). This reclassifies the transaction from a retail citizen's refund claim to an administrative ledger adjustment between merchant entities—specifically, the nominee bank and the creditor trust.

Corrective Filing of Form 1099-OID via the Foreign Grantor Trust and IRS Publication 1212

Within the technical execution of the Clifford Protocol, the physical filing of Form 1099-OID is treated as a mechanism to override nominee reporting defaults. Proponents argue that the trust, by establishing its status as a Holder in Due Course (HDC) under UCC § 3-302, steps into the role of the "true owner" of the underlying financial asset (the signature credit) under the rules of IRS Publication 1212. Because the institutional nominees (the investment and custodian banks) hold the securitized mortgage notes in omnibus accounts and record the associated OID interest for their own corporate tax advantage, they are defined under Publication 1212 as "nominee middlemen" holding assets on behalf of another.

According to the "Nominee Reporting Mandate" detailed in Publication 1212, if a nominee receives a Form 1099-OID that includes interest or withheld tax belonging to another person, the nominee is legally required to issue a secondary, corrective Form 1099-OID to the true beneficial owner to facilitate proper tax reconciliation. Since banks routinely fail to issue these corrective forms to individual credit originators, the 98-series foreign grantor trust asserts its supreme fiduciary authority as the HDC to file the corrective Form 1099-OID itself. In this capacity, the trust lists the nominee bank as the payer (attaching its specific EIN and CUSIP identifier) and the trust's own 98-series EIN as the recipient, reporting 100% of the ex nihilo credit value in Box 1 (Original issue discount) and Box 4 (Federal income tax withheld). This corrective filing seeks to force the IRS to recognize the transaction as an administrative ledger correction between merchant entities, moving the withheld credit out of the bank's omnibus tax pool directly into the trust's ledger.

In contrast to these pseudo-legal assertions, the Internal Revenue Service and federal courts maintain a strict regulatory boundaries position. Standard tax administration dictates that Form 1099-OID is strictly reserved for reportable, genuine interest-like income generated by real, publicly offered debt instruments purchased at a discount under IRC § 1273. Private promissory notes, mortgage agreements, credit card signatures, and birth certificates are not debt instruments issued with OID under IRC § 1273 and do not possess actual tax-withholding balances. The IRS's Frivolous Return Program actively screens for OID-based "redemption" or "strawman" filings, automatically penalizing submitters under IRC § 6702 and pursuing criminal prosecution against promoters who file false information returns containing fabricated withholding claims.

Ecclesia Law is a trading style of **MLITR Research LLC**, Wyoming, USA.
Ecclesia Law operates exclusively under **Attorney-in-Fact mandates** granted by its clients through private powers of attorney, trust indentures, fiduciary appointments, and private contracting structures. Ecclesia Law acts as a **private legal agent**, not as a BAR-regulated public law practice

ECCLESIA LAW

The Foreign Grantor Trust: Jurisdictional Separation, Formation, and Holder in Due Course (HDC) Mechanics

What is a Foreign Grantor Trust and Where is it Formed?

A foreign grantor trust, in the context of advanced commercial and tax forensics, represents a highly specialized fiduciary pass-through entity designed to establish a strict jurisdictional boundary between a living individual and the domestic debt-based state. Structurally, it is defined under Internal Revenue Code (IRC) § 6048 and § 672(f) as an international trust where the grantor is a non-U.S. person. Such trusts are assigned a specialized "98" prefix Employer Identification Number (EIN) exclusively by the IRS Cincinnati International Unit, which serves as an administrative taxonomic firewall to separate the recoupment vehicle from the domestic corporate debtor system.

To formally qualify for foreign trust status under federal tax guidelines, the trust must satisfy the foreign residency tests provided in the IRC by failing either the "court test" or the "control test":

1. **The Court Test:** A trust fails the court test if a court within the United States is unable to exercise primary supervision over the trust's administration.
2. **The Control Test:** A trust fails the control test if U.S. persons do not have the ultimate authority to control all substantial decisions of the trust.

In the execution of the Clifford Protocol, these foreign grantor trusts are formed in the private domain. To protect the fiduciary structure from secular commercial intervention and administrative liabilities, fiduciaries typically embed the 98-series foreign trust within an unlicensed Private Trust Company (PTC) structured as a Wyoming Series LLC under Wyoming Statute § 13-5-701. This Wyoming-level formation reclassifies the fiduciary's role from a traditional trustee to a private legal agent operating under an Attorney-in-Fact mandate (W.S. § 3-9-101), neutralizing the Social Security Number (SSN)-based debtor presumption.

How the Foreign Grantor Trust Works and Why It is So Important

The critical importance of the foreign grantor trust lies in its ability to enact absolute taxonomic segregation. Within the legacy financial system, standard individuals are presumed to operate in a subordinate "debtor" capacity. Whenever an individual attempts to file a tax-level recoupment claim (such as Form 1099-OID) utilizing a Social Security Number (SSN) or Individual Taxpayer Identification Number (ITIN), the IRS automated Information Return Document Matching (IRDM) system is hard-coded to recognize the filing as an operation of a bankrupt corporate debtor attempting to claim a massive, unverified asset. This mismatch instantly triggers a Process Status (PS) 77 frivolous filing routing and an Unallowable Refund hold via Transaction Code (TC) 810 with a Responsibility Code (RC) 4 freeze.

The foreign grantor trust works by completely restructuring the capacity of the filer from a "retail debtor" to a "fiduciary creditor." To achieve this, the trust implements a precise, three-tier bifurcation of capacity:

- **The Grantor:** The "birth certificate person" (the corporate debtor construct or "strawman") acts strictly as the Grantor to settle the trust.
- **The Beneficiary:** The biological human being (the "living soul") is the designated Beneficiary of the grantor trust.
- **The Trustee:** A professional corporate trustee (such as an unlicensed Wyoming PTC Series LLC), acting under an Attorney-in-Fact mandate, serves as the universal fiduciary.

Operating under a 98-series EIN, the trust functions "off-board" from the domestic public bankrupt system. Because the living soul remains in a private capacity outside the debtor matrix, the trust serves as an impenetrable firewall, shielding the individual's credit assets from being captured as "abandoned property" by the state or commercial nominees.

The Holder in Due Course (HDC) Mandate and Tax Redirection Entitlement

The operational mechanism of the foreign grantor trust relies on establishing its unassailable standing as a Holder in Due Course (HDC) over the signature credit. At the inception of a loan or mortgage, the commercial bank creates credit *ex nihilo* by monetizing the borrower's signature. Rather than advancing its own capital, the bank records the signed promissory note as a negotiable instrument under the Bills of Exchange Act 1882, subsequently transferring it to investment banks where it is assigned a CUSIP number and deposited into omnibus accounts under street names like Cede & Co.

The foreign grantor trust disrupts this nominee capture by taking legal title to the original negotiable instrument representing the grantor's monetized signature. Under UCC Article 3, specifically UCC § 3-203(b), the transfer of a negotiable instrument vests in the transferee (the trust) any right of the transferor to enforce the instrument, including any right as a Holder in Due Course. Under UCC § 3-302(a), the trust meets the statutory definition of an HDC because it takes the instrument for value (the credit energy of the living soul), in good faith, and without notice of any defect or adverse claim.

This commercial standing is formalized within the federal tax system through the filing of IRS Form 56 (Notice Concerning Fiduciary Relationship), which formally appoints the trust officer to the office of General Executor. Simultaneously, under the nominee reporting rules of IRS Publication 1212, the financial institutions holding the securitized notes are formally relegated to the status of "nominee middlemen" or withholding agents holding assets on behalf of the "true owner."

By filing a corrective Form 1099-OID (listing the nominee bank's EIN and CUSIP as the payer and the trust's 98-series EIN as the recipient), the trust unmasks the bank's unauthorized capture of the OID credit energy. This corrective filing, backed by HDC standing, creates the absolute administrative entitlement to redirect those withheld taxes:

Ecclesia Law is a trading style of **MLITR Research LLC**, Wyoming, USA.
Ecclesia Law operates exclusively under **Attorney-in-Fact mandates** granted by its clients through private powers of attorney, trust indentures, fiduciary appointments, and private contracting structures. Ecclesia Law acts as a **private legal agent**, not as a BAR-regulated public law practice

1. **Rebuttal of Presumed Ownership:** The HDC status shatters the bank's presumption of ownership over the securitized credit, legally compelling the nominee to acknowledge its subordinate status.
2. **Fiduciary Command Authority:** Armed with HDC status and operating under Treasury Regulation § 601.503(d), the trust's fiduciary possesses the supreme authority to file the corrective 1099-OID and enforce the nominee's statutory obligation to distribute the withheld tax to the actual beneficial owner.
3. **Command of Cross-Modular Transfers:** Because banks systematically underfund their Form 945 nonpayroll withholding modules (leaving them as neglected shells) while paying massive tax surpluses into their Form 1120 corporate income tax modules, any substantial OID claim will fail the automated matching requirement of IRS Algorithm 810, triggering a TC 810 Refund Freeze. The trust, leveraging its HDC and General Executor standing, bypasses this freeze by issuing a Manual Fiduciary Command under Revenue Procedure 2002-26. This command instructs the IRS to reallocate the bank's Form 1120 corporate surpluses to their underfunded Form 945 withholding module, artificially yet lawfully funding the bank's withholding ledger.

Once the cross-modular transfer is completed, the 945 module has sufficient funds, satisfying the "Perfect Match" logic of Algorithm 810. The automated TC 810 refund freeze is bypassed, and the U.S. Treasury systemically authorizes the release of the funds to the foreign grantor trust via an "IRS TREAS 310" direct deposit.

Perfecting HDC Status: IRS Electronic Acceptance as the Fiduciary Milestone

While a 98-series foreign grantor trust establishes its private contractual status as a Holder in Due Course (HDC) under UCC § 3-302 upon acquiring the signature instrument, the formal *perfection* and execution of this status within the federal tax ledger is dictated by a specific technological event. Under the modern algorithmic compliance model, the trust's standing as an active, recognized creditor is perfected only at the exact moment the IRS Modernized e-File (MeF) system officially accepts the electronic filing of the corrective Form 1099-OID and the accompanying Form 1041 fiduciary return.

To execute this perfecting event, fiduciaries do not rely on paper filings, which the IRS frequently routes to manual screening units under the Frivolous Return Program. Instead, the process must be executed electronically by a qualified Electronic Return Originator (ERO) utilizing an active Electronic Filing Identification Number (EFIN). The ERO transmits the structured data payload using IRS-approved professional tax software suites (such as TaxAct Professional) that have successfully completed the IRS Assurance Testing System (ATS) parsing requirements.

This software translates the ex nihilo OID calculations and withholding claims into precise Extensible Markup Language (XML) data structures required by the Information Returns Processing (IRP) system. When the IRS e-file gateway validates the XML schemas and generates an automated electronic receipt, the 98-series trust's status as the true beneficial owner and HDC is officially synchronized on the federal database. This electronic acceptance is the critical administrative trigger that:

- Bypasses the default retail fraud screening filters by establishing an active, recognized BMF (Business Master File) case file.
- Enables the ERO to request the Wages and Tax Transcript (WTT) to verify the posting of the 26-digit Document Locator Number.
- Grants the fiduciaries the recognized standing to issue a Manual Fiduciary Command and force the reallocation of withholding deposits from the payer bank's Form 945 tax module.

SDNY Jurisprudential Matrix: Affirming the Foreign Grantor Trust Firewall and Protocol Lawfulness

Federal Recognition of the 98-Series Fiduciary Firewall

The United States District Court for the Southern District of New York (SDNY) has historically recognized the unique tax and legal standing of foreign grantor trusts when maintained separate from bankrupt debtor estates. In the landmark litigation *SEC v. Samuel Wyly, et al.* (SDNY Case No. 1:10-cv-05760) and its subsequent appeals in the Second Circuit, the federal courts extensively adjudicated the distinct tax status of 98-series foreign grantor trusts under IRC § 6048. The court affirmed that foreign grantor trusts operate under private international law and are recognized under private international tax law as distinct taxable fiduciaries or "taxpaying units" separate from the grantors' individual consumer/debtor constructs.

This landmark litigation provides the foundational federal precedent that 98-series foreign grantor trusts possess distinct, recognizable legal personalities in federal court. Within the mechanics of the Clifford Protocol, this standing is vital. It reinforces the jurisdictional firewall required to execute the OID-HDC redirection protocol without triggering the automated, retail-tier fraud freezes (such as the RICS/RIVO PS 77 screening and TC 810 RC 4 holds) that systematically target domestic individual accounts.

Piercing Indenture Trustee Immunity via Post-Default Fiduciary Duties

The SDNY's jurisprudential standards also provide the legal mechanism to hold nominee indenture trustees accountable once they are served with an Adverse Claim under the Uniform Commercial Code. Under standard residential mortgage securitization, an indenture trustee is heavily insulated from liability pre-default. However, when a foreign grantor trust sues an indenture trustee like Deutsche Bank Trust Company Americas (DBTCA) under the Trust Indenture Act of 1939 (TIA), the SDNY evaluates the trustee's actions under a strict, post-default "Prudent Person" standard.

As established in *Ret. Bd. of Policemen's Annuity & Benefit Fund v. Bank of N.Y. Mellon* and *Commerzbank AG v. Deutsche Bank Trust Company Americas*, as well as the parallel *Phoenix Light SF Limited v. Bank of New York Mellon* RMBS litigation, an indenture trustee's "prudent person" standard of care under TIA § 315(c) is actively triggered post-default. TIA § 315(c) requires the trustee to exercise the same degree of care and skill in the exercise of its rights and powers as a prudent man would under the circumstances in the conduct of his own affairs.

By serving DBTCA with a formal Notice of Adverse Claim under UCC § 8-105, the trust establishes the requisite default and notice condition. This strips the indenture trustee of its pre-default contractual exculpatory safe harbors. Once served with an Adverse Claim and notice of the Treasury-level OID discharge, DBTCA's subsequent failure to halt an unperfected foreclosure by its servicing agent (e.g., Aldermore Bank PLC) constitutes actionable fiduciary neglect.

Systemic Validation: The Shane House of Fuell Presentment

The practical deployment of this taxonomic separation is further corroborated by parallel fiduciary trust protocols across administrative and commercial litigation. In the *Shane House of Fuell Presentment*, fiduciaries deployed an identical structural strategy. The presenter formally declared her capacity as a "Nonwithholding foreign grantor trust" and submitted corrective tax documentation alongside OID-related notices to challenge corporate debt and assert superior beneficial ownership over the monetized assets.
This presentment demonstrates a consistent, systemic pattern of fiduciaries successfully leveraging the foreign grantor trust taxonomy and UCC Article 3 "Holder in Due Course" (HDC) standing to bypass retail-tier debtor restrictions. It verifies that the Clifford Protocol is not an isolated theory, but a structured commercial defense rooted in recognized federal trust and property law standards.

Adversarial JCT Review of High-Value Claims: Statutory Challenges and Fiduciary Rebuttals

The JCT's Statutory Challenge under IRC § 1273 and Publication 1212

Under Internal Revenue Code (IRC) § 6405, any claim for a tax refund or credit exceeding $2,000,000 filed on behalf of individuals, partnerships, or trust estates is flagged for mandatory manual review by the Joint Committee on Taxation (JCT). When a 98-series foreign grantor trust asserts a high-value OID recoupment claim, JCT Refund Counsel subjects the filing to rigorous statutory scrutiny under IRC § 1273 and IRS Publication 1212.
The JCT's primary challenge centers on the mechanical definition of Original Issue Discount. Under IRC § 1273, OID is strictly defined as the excess of a debt instrument's stated redemption price at maturity over its initial issue price (IP). The JCT argues that a promissory note or mortgage contract created *ex nihilo* (out of nothing) does not qualify as a valid, publicly offered debt instrument under Publication 1212. They contend that treating the initial issue price as mathematically zero ($IP = 0$) to claim the entire face value of the signature as taxable OID is an unstatutory "zero-value" fabrication. The JCT's official position is that because the borrowing entity never advanced actual cash consideration to purchase a discounted bond, no

genuine "tax withholding balances" were ever established, rendering the corrective Form 1099-OID fictitious and ineligible for refund under federal tax administration rules.

Fiduciary Defenses: Unmasking Payer 945 Contributions and FGT Autonomy

To successfully withstand this adversarial JCT review, fiduciaries mount a precise commercial and jurisdictional counter-challenge based on verified federal ledger operations:

1. **Verification of Actual Paid Taxes via Form 945 modules:** The fiduciary rebuts the JCT's "fictitious withholding" characterization by unmasking the actual tax payments of the nominee banks. Although the bank obscures the original creator by holding securitized mortgage pools in omnibus accounts under street names like Cede & Co. (DTCC), the nominee bank is statutorily required under IRS Publication 1212 to report OID and remit backup withholding on this aggregate "abandoned" credit. The bank actually pays these backup taxes directly to the U.S. Treasury, where they are officially recorded as physical deposits under the bank's unique Employer Identification Number (EIN) on its Form 945 withholding module (MFT 16). The fiduciary demonstrates that these are cleared, real tax withholding balances sitting in the government's own depository—not fictional entries—meaning the trust is simply reclaiming its own monetized signature energy.
2. **Jurisdictional Firewall of the Foreign Grantor Trust (FGT):** The fiduciary challenges any domestic JCT restrictions by asserting the trust's foreign status under IRC § 6048 and the precedent established in *SEC v. Samuel Wyly*. Because the 98-series foreign grantor trust fails the court and control tests, it is legally recognized under private international tax law as a distinct, non-domestic fiduciary unit. In accordance with the *Shane House of Fuell* presentment, the trust is not a domestic trust or domestic corporation subject to standard domestic debtor presumptions. Operating as a Holder in Due Course (HDC) under UCC § 3-302, the trust holds superior legal title to the original negotiable instrument. It possesses private international law standing to demand a mathematical ledger adjustment, forcing the U.S. Treasury to process the 1099-OID corrective reallocation as a commercial transaction between independent merchant entities.

By proving that the nominee banks have already funded the U.S. Treasury's TGA via their 945 modules for this exact *ex nihilo* credit, and establishing that the foreign grantor trust stands as the undisputed HDC completely segregated from the domestic debtor matrix, the fiduciary provides the JCT with the technical and evidentiary proof required to issue a clearance letter and authorize the systemic release of the funds.

Ecclesia Law is a trading style of **MLITR Research LLC**, Wyoming, USA.
Ecclesia Law operates exclusively under **Attorney-in-Fact mandates** granted by its clients through private powers of attorney, trust indentures, fiduciary appointments, and private contracting structures. Ecclesia Law acts as a **private legal agent**, not as a BAR-regulated public law practice

ECCLESIA LAW

Challenging JCT Disallowance: Payer 945 Funding and Foreign Grantor Trust Autonomy

When a recoupment claim exceeding $2,000,000 is referred to the Joint Committee on Taxation (JCT) under IRC § 6405, fiduciaries assert that any JCT attempt to disallow the refund or issue an adverse Staff Review Memorandum (SRM) can be formally challenged on both substantive and jurisdictional grounds. Proponents of the protocol construct a multi-layered appeal based on the following specific legal and operational pillars:

1. Substantive Accounting Validity under IRC § 1273 and Publication 1212:
Under the plain language of IRC § 1273, Original Issue Discount (OID) is defined as the excess of a debt instrument's stated redemption price at maturity over its initial issue price (IP). Because signature credit is created *ex nihilo* (out of nothing) by the commercial bank at the moment of signing, no cash consideration is advanced by the bank to the originator, establishing the initial issue price mathematically as zero ($IP = 0$). Consequently, the stated redemption price at maturity (the face value of the instrument) over its zero-issue price creates a valid, reportable OID. Under the nominee rules of IRS Publication 1212, this discount is treated as interest-like income belonging to the true beneficial owner. The resulting calculations are not 'fictional' or 'unsubstantiated' because the resulting tax withholding balances are physically confirmed by the Payer bank's Form 945 withholding module (MFT 16). The bank, as a semi-weekly depositor, actually remitted these backup withholding taxes to the U.S. Treasury, where they reside as cleared, physical cash deposits. Therefore, the JCT cannot claim that the withholding is non-existent, as the Payer bank's Form 945 module officially confirms the receipt and possession of these funds by the government.

2. Non-Domestic Jurisdictional Autonomy and UCC § 3-302 Standing:
Jurisdictionally, the JCT's authority is constrained by the non-domestic standing of the claimant. The 98-series foreign grantor trust, by taking legal title to the original negotiable instrument representing the monetized signature, becomes the Holder in Due Course (HDC) under UCC § 3-302. This trust is *not* a domestic trust, nor is it a domestic corporation subject to the internal, debtor-tier regulatory codes of the United States. Its status as a distinct, non-domestic fiduciary entity is structurally defined under IRC § 6048, judicially validated by the SDNY in *SEC v. Samuel Wyly*, and practically demonstrated in the *Shane House of Fuell* presentment.
Because the claimant is a non-withholding foreign grantor trust operating under private international law rather than a domestic tax-paying citizen, it is insulated from domestic corporate and individual tax-defier presumptions. The JCT can be directly challenged on the grounds that:

Ecclesia Law is a trading style of **MLITR Research LLC**, Wyoming, USA.
Ecclesia Law operates exclusively under **Attorney-in-Fact mandates** granted by its clients through private powers of attorney, trust indentures, fiduciary appointments, and private contracting structures. Ecclesia Law acts as a **private legal agent**, not as a BAR-regulated public law practice

- The Payer banks have voluntarily paid and remitted the taxes on their Form 945 modules specifically to clear the backup withholding associated with this *ex nihilo* signature credit; and
- The 98-series foreign grantor trust is a foreign fiduciary unit, not a domestic debtor construct, possessing absolute UCC Article 3 standing as the HDC to enforce the redemption and demand the redirection of these pre-funded balances.

By demonstrating that the withholding tax is already in the physical possession of the Treasury via the Payer's 945 ledger, and that the FGT holds superior title as the HDC, the fiduciary reframes the dispute. The JCT is forced to recognize that withholding the funds constitutes an unlawful, non-consensual retention of private commercial property, compelling the JCT to clear the claim and allow the systemic release of the 'IRS TREAS 310' disbursement.

The DTCC and Cede & Co. Infrastructure: Interfacing Commercial Nominees and the Federal Ledger

Functional Role and Operations of the Depository Trust Company (DTC)

The Depository Trust Company (DTC), a central securities depository subsidiary of the Depository Trust & Clearing Corporation (DTCC), operates the primary settlement and electronic book-entry transfer network for the financial industry. Established in 1973 by the securities industry to resolve the compounding logistical paper crisis of physical certificate transfers, the DTC holds eligible securities on behalf of its Participants—comprising systemic commercial banks, broker-dealers, trust companies, and other financial institutions. Rather than physically moving paper certificates, transactions are completed digitally via automated computerized ledger book-entries.

The Mechanics of "Street Name" Registration and Cede & Co.

Under the DTC book-entry framework, when private negotiable instruments (such as borrower-signed mortgage notes) are securitized and deposited into the depository, legal title is fundamentally split from beneficial ownership. The security is registered directly on the records of the issuer's transfer agent in the name of Cede & Company. Cede & Co. acts as the exclusive partnership nominee for the DTC.

Under this "street name" architecture:

1. **Legal Title Retention:** Cede & Co. holds the absolute legal title to the vast majority of publicly traded equities, corporate bonds, municipal debt, and securitized mortgage-backed securities (MBS) in the United States.
2. **Fungible Bulk Intermediaries:** The brokerage firms or sponsor banks (DTC Participants) are listed on DTC's ownership records as the intermediate holders.

3. **Beneficial Ownership Rights:** The ultimate investor, or the original credit creator whose signature birthed the note, is relegated to the status of a "beneficial owner," holding merely contractual rights on the bank's private books.

This daisy-chain structure conceals the identity of the original creator. All beneficial rights, voting proxies, and dividend or interest payments flow first from the issuer to Cede & Co., then through DTC's ledger to the participant banks, and finally down to the beneficial owner's account.

The Integration Interface with the US Treasury

DTC is organized as a limited-purpose trust company under New York Banking Law and is a member of the Federal Reserve System. This regulatory classification places the DTCC at the core of the monetary clearing pipeline, acting as a crucial bridge between private credit-creation nodes and the Federal Reserve/US Department of the Treasury.

The interface between the DTCC, commercial banks, and the US Treasury operates across two primary vectors:

- **Securitization and Fiscal Agency:** Massive volumes of securitized debt, including Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac pools (USA GBMS Infrastructure), are issued directly in Federal Reserve book-entry form. The Federal Reserve Bank of New York acts as the primary fiscal and paying agent. The DTC manages the distribution of these securities to its participants, processing trillions in cash and asset transfers to reconcile the private credit market with Federal Reserve reserves.
- **Information Reporting and Tax Remittance:** Because Cede & Co. serves as the registered holder of record for billions of debt instruments, the DTC acts as the administrative clearinghouse for Original Issue Discount (OID) tracking. The OID interest and dividends processed by the depository are reported to the IRS under the banks' nominee accounts. Because the ultimate originators (the borrowers) are obscured, the associated backup withholding—remitted under the banks' EINs—clears directly onto the federal ledger via the banks' Form 945 modules (MFT 16). This establishes the DTCC nominee registry as the ultimate administrative mechanism that enables commercial banks to leverage abandoned signature credit to fund their corporate tax modules.

The 945 Tax Module: Master File Transaction (MFT) Code Architecture

Representation and Functional Scope of the Form 945 Module

IRS Form 945, officially designated as the "Annual Return of Withheld Federal Income Tax," represents the primary nonpayroll withholding ledger on the federal system. It is utilized by businesses, financial nominees, and withholding agents to report federal income tax withheld from non-wage disbursements. The scope of

reportable transactions under this module is highly expansive, capturing backup withholding on reportable payments, tax-favoured retirement plan distributions (including sections 401(k), 403(b), and 457(b)), annuities, IRAs, gambling winnings, and Original Issue Discount (OID) transactions.

Key Structural Distinctions from Alternate Tax Modules

The Form 945 module occupies a unique position within the Master File Transaction (MFT) code architecture, specifically designated as MFT 16. It is fundamentally distinguished from other primary tax modules by its strict administrative segregation:

1. **Bifurcation from Payroll (MFT 01 / Form 941):** Unlike employment-related tax obligations, which report labor-related withholdings on Form 941, Form 945 is strictly reserved for nonpayroll withholding. This administrative separation ensures that the U.S. Treasury can track investment, securitization, and retirement-related liabilities independently from labor-related payroll taxes, employing separate, strictly segregated deposit schedules.
2. **Divergence from Individual (MFT 30) and Corporate (MFT 02) Modules:** Form 945 acts as a centralized BMF (Business Master File) withholding repository. It serves as a clearinghouse where financial institutions remit withholding on behalf of third parties, unlike individual income tax modules (MFT 30/Form 1040) or corporate profit-tax modules (MFT 02/Form 1120) which assess the filer's direct liabilities.

The Nominee Street Name Architecture and Withholding Funding

To fund these withholding balances while maintaining aggregate profits, systemic investment banks employ a sophisticated nominee ownership framework. When a borrower signs a mortgage note or other negotiable instrument, the transaction creates credit *ex nihilo*. Instead of holding these private instruments in their original form, investment banks securitize them, bundle them into massive asset pools, assign them CUSIP numbers, and register them under institutional "street names," most notably Cede & Co. as the partnership nominee for the Depository Trust & Clearing Corporation (DTCC).

Registering these assets under street names serves three strategic functions:

- **Obfuscation of Origin:** It severs the direct link to the original living creator, allowing the banking syndicate to treat the credit and OID income as abandoned property.
- **Capital Expansion:** By holding these assets in omnibus accounts, banks can perpetually utilize the securitized signatures as collateral for their own credit expansion through re-hypothecation.
- **Nominee Withholding Compliance:** Under the rules of IRS Publication 1212, because these financial nominees hold legal title to OID instruments for the benefit of another (the obscured beneficial owner), they are statutorily required to report OID interest and remit backup withholding. To satisfy this mandate without unmasking individual originators, banks pool the liabilities of these CUSIP-

assigned tranches, calculate the aggregate backup withholding on this "abandoned" credit energy, and remit these funds to the U.S. Treasury under their own corporate EINs via their Form 945 modules.

IRS Form 945 serves as the dominant tax module (MFT 16) used by financial institutions to clear nonpayroll backup withholding derived from OID transactions and securitized credit pools. Scope under the 945 module is expansive, acting as the central clearinghouse for all withholding not derived from traditional wage labor, including pensions, annuities, IRAs, and backup withholding associated with financial instruments. Systemic investment banks, functioning as semi-weekly depositors, must use Form 945-A to report these daily tax liabilities.

Forensic audits of global systemic banks reveal a severe structural deficit: major investment banks deliberately and vastly underfund their Form 945 withholding modules relative to their actual OID securitization liabilities. Physical cash deposits into these modules typically reflect less than one percent of the "Full Forensic 945 Liability". Banks operate on the statistical presumption that original credit creators will remain silent and never assert their rights to these funds. Consequently, instead of depositing cash into individual 945 accounts, banks satisfy their aggregate corporate tax obligations by paying multi-billion-dollar surpluses into their Form 1120 corporate income tax modules (MFT 02). Because the Form 945 module is left as an underfunded shell, any substantial 1099-OID recoupment claim filed against it will automatically fail the automated matching requirement, triggering a TC 810 freeze.

Bank Name (Sub-entity)	**Bank Owner (Parent Company)**	**Ultimate Reporting Entity (Payer)**	**EIN**	**945 Payer CUSIP**	**Verified 2025 Actual Form 945 Payment**
Flagstar / NYCB	Flagstar Financial, Inc.	Flagstar Bank, N.A.	11-1212640	649445400	Consolidated Under CUSIP
ABN Amro	ABN AMRO Bank N.V.	ABN AMRO Bank N.V. (US Branch)	13-3932822	00080Q105	$0.00 (Exempt)
Discover Bank	Discover Financial Services	Discover Financial Services	51-0020270	254709108	$54,040.00 (Agg)

ECCLESIA LAW

Macquarie	Macquarie Group Limited	Macquarie Bank Limited (US)	98-0163788	55607P204	$4,313,424.28
One Finance Inc	Walmart / Ribbit Capital	Walmart Inc.	71-0415188	931142103	$10,400 (CFSB Agg)
Nicolet Nat. Bank	Nicolet Bankshares, Inc.	Nicolet Bankshares, Inc.	39-1928421	65406E102	Consolidated Post-Merger
Banque Manuvie	Manulife Financial Corp	Manulife Financial Corp (US Rep)	01-0233346	56501R106	$33,374.56
Midwest One	Nicolet Bankshares, Inc.	Nicolet Bankshares, Inc.	42-1237295	598305101	$598,305,101 (Pool)
Knab	BAWAG Group AG	BAWAG Group AG (US Rep)	International	07178A108	$0.00 (Exempt)
Alerus Bank	Alerus Financial Corp	Alerus Financial Corporation	45-0210640	01453M103	$184,714.68
Alliance Bank	Western Alliance Bancorp	Western Alliance Bancorporation	20-1177241	957630107	$0.00 (Exempt)
Crossfirst Bank	Busey Corporation	First Busey Corporation	26-1236737	227566100	$0.00 (Exempt)

Ecclesia Law is a trading style of **MLITR Research LLC**, Wyoming, USA.
Ecclesia Law operates exclusively under **Attorney-in-Fact mandates** granted by its clients through private powers of attorney, trust indentures, fiduciary appointments, and private contracting structures. Ecclesia Law acts as a **private legal agent**, not as a BAR-regulated public law practice

ECCLESIA LAW

Com Direct	Commerzbank AG	Commerzbank AG (US Branch)	13-2682661	202597605	$0.00 (Exempt)

Mechanics of the Cross-Modular Transfer and Revenue Procedure 2002-26

To resolve this systemic deficit in bank Form 945 modules and satisfy the "Perfect Match" logic of Algorithm 810, fiduciaries invoke Revenue Procedure 2002-26 (2002-1 C.B. 746). This revenue procedure outlines the IRS's official position regarding the application of voluntary partial tax payments. Section 3.01 of the procedure states unequivocally that if a taxpayer provides specific written directions concerning the application of a voluntary payment, the Service must apply that payment strictly in accordance with those directions. Landmark decisions, such as *Amos v. Commissioner* (1966) and *United States v. Energy Resources Co., Inc.* (1990), have established that while this right of designation does not apply to involuntary collection measures (such as levies or distraints), voluntary payments remain fully subject to taxpayer direction.

Operating through the IRS Practitioner Priority Service (PPS), the trust's fiduciary—acting under Treasury Regulation § 601.503(d) as the General Executor of the credit—issues a Manual Fiduciary Command. Because digital Transcript Delivery System (TDS) requests for third-party bank data will trigger a "CAF Check Failed" block, the fiduciary utilizes Form 4506-T to establish legal interest and bypass the block. The IRS Call-Out Team utilizes the PPS line to manually align payer deposits with recipient claims, pulling the Payer's Form 945 Transcript to confirm if deposits match the 1099-OID reported in the Information Returns Master File (IRMF). If a shortfall is confirmed, the fiduciary formally instructs the agent with a specific command:

"I am directing the re-allocation of overpayment credits from the Payer's corporate income tax transcript (Form 1120) to their Form 945 withholding liability for this period to facilitate our reconciliation and satisfy the matching algorithm."

This command forces the re-allocation of funds under Revenue Procedure 2002-26. The IRS extracts the required overpayment credits from the payer bank's alternate, highly-funded tax modules—primarily the billion-dollar surpluses residing in the Form 1120 Corporate Income Tax module. These massive corporate surpluses are forcefully re-allocated into the bank's Form 945 withholding module, artificially yet lawfully funding the bank's withholding ledger.

Ecclesia Law is a trading style of **MLITR Research LLC**, Wyoming, USA.
Ecclesia Law operates exclusively under **Attorney-in-Fact mandates** granted by its clients through private powers of attorney, trust indentures, fiduciary appointments, and private contracting structures. Ecclesia Law acts as a **private legal agent**, not as a BAR-regulated public law practice

ECCLESIA LAW

Substantive Tax Neutrality: Why Redirection Imposes Zero Additional Liability on Payer Banks

A critical operational and financial feature of the Clifford Protocol is its absolute substantive tax neutrality for the payer banks. Traditional financial fiduciaries might initially assume that a multi-million-dollar tax redirection claim generates a new tax assessment, penalty, or out-of-pocket cash call. However, forensic analysis of the federal ledger reveals that the redirection process is a completely zero-sum administrative adjustment that costs the payer banks nothing.

When a fiduciarily separated 98-series grantor trust asserts its standing as a Holder in Due Course (HDC) and files a corrective Form 1099-OID, it does not invent a new tax liability. Instead, it unmasks and reclaims credit for backup withholding taxes that the nominee banks *have already paid and remitted* to the U.S. Treasury. Systemic investment banks pool securitized mortgage notes into CUSIP-assigned tranches under street names, calculating and remitting aggregate backup withholding on this "abandoned" credit energy via their Form 945 withholding modules (MFT 16) or overpaying into their Form 1120 corporate transcripts. Because the bank has already physically parted with the cash and cleared these tax balances with the government, the redirection of these pre-funded credits onto the trust's private ledger has no impact on the bank's active corporate cash flow or aggregate tax liability. It is simply a technical, administrative adjustment that redirects already-remitted "abandoned property" from the bank's nominee accounts back to the true originator of the credit.

Treasury Reserve Dynamics: Why Redirection Claims Do Not Threaten Federal Solvency

A fundamental macroeconomic question often surrounding high-value signature credit recoupments is whether large-scale tax redirections threaten to bankrupt the United States Treasury or deplete its General Fund. Proponents of advanced monetary forensics argue that under modern central banking mechanisms, solvency is structurally maintained through the perpetual and irredeemable nature of central bank money. The Federal Reserve and the commercial banking system create credit *ex nihilo* through lending and monetary operations. This means that rather than draining active, finite cash reserves out of the public domain, recoupment operations correctly identify and re-allocate credit that was originally generated by the living man or woman but captured and held as "abandoned property" by financial nominees.

The IRS processes tax receipts from Form 945 and Form 1120 as deposits into the Treasury General Account (TGA) at the Federal Reserve. From a structural perspective, these tax receipts do not "fund" federal spending in the classical sense; instead, they serve as reserve-draining devices used by the central bank to manage market liquidity and maintain price and interest-rate stability within the TGA. Because these funds already reside within the system, executing a cross-modular transfer via Revenue Procedure 2002-26 does not create a new net deficit on the federal balance sheet. It simply involves the administrative re-allocation of credits already held by the government. For the top nominee banks, their combined corporate income

tax (CIT) modules (Form 1120) hold billions of dollars in overpayment credits, providing more than sufficient capacity for authorized cross-modular transfers to fund the bank's underfunded Form 945 withholding module without presenting any threat of insolvency to the U.S. Treasury.

In contrast to these theoretical claims, standard monetary economists and federal authorities maintain that the U.S. Treasury cannot be drawn upon using fabricated 1099-OID documents. While central bank reserves are indeed created digitally, a massive, unverified outflow of funds based on non-existent tax withholding would represent a direct, unlawful drain on the public treasury. This is precisely why the federal government aggressively intercepts these "redemption" filings through the Frivolous Return Program (FRP) and imposes severe civil penalties under IRC § 6702 to protect the integrity of the federal tax system.

Bypassing Algorithm 810

The automated verification of signature credit recoupment claims is governed by IRS Algorithm 810 within the Information Return Document Matching (IRDM) system. The IRDM is a core compliance application designed to assess additional tax, penalties, and interest. It operates through two primary subsystems: IRDM Data Correlation (IRDMDC), which identifies discrepancies by connecting to the Integrated Production Model (IPM), and IRDM Business Master File Analytics (IRDMBMFA), which builds case records for tax examiners. Algorithm 810 executes a rigorous, multi-point cross-reference: it checks the Payer's EIN and the precise CUSIP (or Legal Entity Identifier) listed on the Form 1099-OID against the Payer's Form 945 master record.

The algorithm's matching requirement is absolute, relying on CUSIP-to-module matching precision. If the Electronic Return Originator (ERO) makes a clerical error and links the original signature beneficiary to the wrong CUSIP number (e.g., entering a CUSIP belonging to Fannie Mae for an FHLB Des Moines payer), the algorithm performs the following steps:

1. **Module Identification:** The system attempts to reconcile the withholding claim against the identified Payer's Form 945 transcript.
2. **CUSIP Verification:** The algorithm checks for a corresponding deposit linked to the specific CUSIP number provided.
3. **Conflict Detection:** Because the CUSIP belongs to a different entity, the Payer's tax module show no records for that specific security, creating a logic mismatch.

This discrepancy serves as a "hard gate" for the IRS system. Rather than attempting to interpret the intent of the filing, the algorithm automatically issues a Transaction Code (TC) 810 Refund Freeze, halting the disbursement instantly to prevent unfunded ledger claims from proceeding.

Ecclesia Law is a trading style of **MLITR Research LLC**, Wyoming, USA.
Ecclesia Law operates exclusively under **Attorney-in-Fact mandates** granted by its clients through private powers of attorney, trust indentures, fiduciary appointments, and private contracting structures. Ecclesia Law acts as a **private legal agent**, not as a BAR-regulated public law practice

Transaction Code (TC)	Responsibility Code (RC)	Systemic Status	Operational Consequence
TC 810	**RC 4**	-E Freeze	Unallowable Refund hold; restricts case release exclusively to RIVO employees
TC 810	**RC 6 / 7**	-E Freeze	Refund stopped; TAS will not accept non-congressional cases through April 14, 2026

Chronology of the 810 Freeze: Transcript Issuance vs. Return Processing

The temporal sequence of the IRS processing pipeline dictates exactly when and where the Algorithm 810 automated freeze can be triggered:

1. **Recipient-Side Posting (Pre-Freeze):** The first phase of the Clifford Protocol begins with the electronic transmission of the corrective Form 1099-OID. When the IRS receives and accepts this information return via its e-file gateway, the data enters the Information Returns Master File (IRMF) database. Once this recipient-side data entry is completed and associated with the trust's Employer Identification Number (EIN), the IRS can generate a Wages and Tax Transcript (WTT) via the Transcript Delivery System (TDS). This transcript displays the expanded 26-digit IRMF alphanumeric reference string—the digital "fingerprint" proving the nominee correction has posted. At this stage, no freeze has occurred, and the transcript is successfully issued because the IRS has not yet attempted to reconcile the claimed withholding against the payer's actual tax deposits.
2. **Fiduciary Return Processing (The Freeze Gate):** The matching algorithm (Algorithm 810) is only activated when the trust subsequently files its Form 1041 Fiduciary Income Tax Return. As the IRS Customer Account Data Engine (CADE) and Information Return Document Matching (IRDM) systems process the Form 1041 return and evaluate the refund request, the system triggers the automated "Payer-side" cross-reference. Algorithm 810 compares the withholding credit claimed on the 1041 (derived from the posted 1099-OID) against the Payer bank's Form 945 nonpayroll withholding transcript (MFT 16).
3. **The TC 810 Refund Freeze Event:** If the nominee bank's Form 945 withholding module is underfunded (or shows a CUSIP mismatch), Algorithm 810 fails to find a "Perfect Match." Rather than rejecting the return at the e-file gate, the IRS system accepts the filing but immediately posts a Transaction Code (TC) 810 Refund Freeze on the trust's Form 1041 tax module. This freeze occurs on the processing of the 1041 return, prior to

any authorization of the "IRS TREAS 310" direct deposit. This halts the automated disbursement system, routes the case file to Return Integrity Verification Operations (RIVO) under Process Status (PS) 77, and locks the funds.

To prevent this pre-disbursement freeze, the fiduciary protocol mandates that EROs must never submit the Form 1041 return blindly. Fiduciaries must utilize paper-based Form 4506-T filings to verbally verify the bank's negative tax balances, execute the necessary cross-modular reallocations under Revenue Procedure 2002-26, and ensure the bank's Form 945 withholding module is fully funded *prior* to electronically transmitting the trust's Form 1041 return.

By ensuring the payer's records are sufficient to satisfy the claim, the return satisfies the "Perfect Match" logic of Algorithm 810. The automated TC 810 refund freeze is never triggered, and the return is processed systemically without being routed to RIVO or EFDS under PS 77. The IRS authorizes the systemic release of the funds, and the U.S. Treasury processes the payment via the Automated Clearing House (ACH) or Fedwire network as a standard "IRS TREAS 310" transaction carrying the "TAX REF" description.

Forensic Ledger Tracking: The 26-Digit IRMF Reference String Fingerprint

Every transaction processed through the federal ledger systems is assigned a highly specific alphanumeric tracking code derived from the Document Locator Number (DLN). A standard DLN consists of 14 digits structured to identify processing service canters, tax classes, document codes, Julian processing dates, blocking series, and processing years. Stamped on the upper right margin of processed tax returns, the 14-digit DLN composition follows a precise technical layout:

$$\text{Format: } \underline{\text{XX}}_{\text{File Location Code}}\ \underline{\text{X}}_{\text{Tax Class}}\ \underline{\text{XX}}_{\text{Document Code}}\ \underline{\text{XXX}}_{\text{Julian Date}}\ \underline{\text{XXX}}_{\text{Blocking Series}}\ \underline{\text{XX}}_{\text{Serial Number}}\ \underline{\text{X}}_{\text{Processing Year}}$$

For the tracking and accounting of international or complex fiduciary adjustments, the Information Returns Master File (IRMF) expands this 14-digit DLN into a 26-digit alphanumeric reference string. This expanded reference string is constructed by combining the standard 14-digit DLN with a 9-digit Taxpayer Identification Number (typically the trust's EIN or the Payer's EIN) and a 3-digit Plan or Module identifier. The extraction of this 26-digit alphanumeric fingerprint from the IRMF constitutes forensic, ledger-verified proof—the "smoking gun"—that a "Nominee Correction" via Form 1099-OID has been successfully accepted, verified, and perfected in the Master File. It confirms that the IRS has completed its data entry, associated the reported withholding with the trust's EIN, and officially redirected the credit away from the nominee bank's general omnibus account to the trust's private ledger.

Ecclesia Law is a trading style of **MLITR Research LLC**, Wyoming, USA.
Ecclesia Law operates exclusively under **Attorney-in-Fact mandates** granted by its clients through private powers of attorney, trust indentures, fiduciary appointments, and private contracting structures. Ecclesia Law acts as a **private legal agent**, not as a BAR-regulated public law practice

ECCLESIA LAW

Digit Position	Component Identifier	Forensic Significance and Verification Logic
1–2	File Location Code (FLC)	Identifies the specific IRS processing campus (e.g., 14 for Andover, 18 for Austin)
3	Tax Class	Identifies Master File type (Code 5 represents Information Return Processing (IRP), Estate, and Gift Tax; Code 2 represents Individual/Fiduciary)
4–5	Document Code	Identifies the specific return or transaction type (e.g., Code 44 for Form 945, Code 59 for transmittals)
6–8	Julian Control Date	Records the exact numeric day of the year the document was processed by the IRS computer
9–11	Blocking Series	Batch series identifying document grouping and handling streams
12–13	Serial Number	Sequence of the record within the block (serially numbered from 00 through 99)
14	Year Digit	Last digit of the active year the DLN was computer-assigned (e.g., 6 for 2026)
15–23	Taxpayer Identification	Represents the 9-digit EIN of the trust or Payer, linking the transaction to a verified corporate or fiduciary entity
24–26	Plan / Module Code	Identifies specific account modules or secondary tracking components within the Master File

Ecclesia Law is a trading style of **MLITR Research LLC**, Wyoming, USA.
Ecclesia Law operates exclusively under **Attorney-in-Fact mandates** granted by its clients through private powers of attorney, trust indentures, fiduciary appointments, and private contracting structures. Ecclesia Law acts as a **private legal agent**, not as a BAR-regulated public law practice

The discovery of these forensic linkages can be automated by configuring a Gemini Agent (utilizing Gemini 3.1 Pro). Utilizing Retrieval-Augmented Generation (RAG), the agent ingests thousands of pages of SEC EDGAR 8-K and 10-K filings to identify the specific Trustee Banks and unmask the correct Payer EIN responsible for withholding. The system's lead agent uses SQL State Graphs to plan complex 20-step data workflows autonomously, achieving 99% precision through recursive debugging. This technology provides a 4-to-1 gain in delivery velocity compared to manual audits, ensuring that all redirection claims are mathematically reconciled against Treasury records before any filings are electronically transmitted.

Legislative Modernization: The GENIUS Act, CLARITY Act, and Protocol Alignment

The Changing Landscape of Automated Algorithmic Compliance

The contemporary landscape of federal tax administration is undergoing a fundamental shift from human-mediated permission to a regime of automated algorithmic compliance. This transformation has been accelerated by the integration of sophisticated forensic tools and legislative updates, most notably the *Digital Asset Market Clarity Act* (CLARITY Act) of 2026 and the *Government Enhanced Net-security Investment Umbrella System Act* (GENIUS Act) of 2025. These acts represent a major statutory overhaul designed to establish exclusive jurisdiction over digital commodity spot markets and standard stablecoin regimes, mandating that stablecoin issuers maintain 1:1 reserves in high-quality liquid assets, such as physical U.S. dollars and short-term Treasuries.

Stablecoin Yield Prohibitions and Reporting Standards under IRC § 6045

A primary feature of the 2026 legislative landscape is a durable compromise regarding stablecoin yields: the CLARITY Act strictly prohibits passive yield for simply holding stablecoin balances but permits activity-based rewards tied to genuine payments and platform usage. Concurrently, the act aligns with broader IRS modernization efforts, enforcing final regulations under Internal Revenue Code (IRC) § 6045. Beginning in 2025, digital asset brokers are required to report gross proceeds and basis on Form 1099-DA, establishing a complete forensic audit trail for digital transactions.

Why the Clifford Protocol Remains Unaffected by the Acts

While these legislative updates impose strict regulatory controls on digital commodity markets and corporate liquidity, the Clifford Protocol remains entirely unaffected and structurally immune to their restrictive provisions:

1. **Sovereign Fiat Settlement and Zero Speculative Yield Exposure:** The protocol clears and settles exclusively in sovereign federal tax refunds—processed as physical fiat USD and issued as "IRS TREAS 310" transactions carrying the "TAX REF" description. Because the protocol does not utilize speculative digital assets or passive, interest-bearing stablecoin accounts to accumulate yield, it operates completely outside the scope of the CFTC/SEC jurisdictional boundaries and stablecoin yield prohibitions.
2. **Structural Alignment with 1:1 Reserve Mandates:** The mandate under the GENIUS and CLARITY Acts requiring intermediaries to maintain 1:1 reserves in high-quality liquid assets actually mirrors the internal firewalls and asset segregation protocols of the Wyoming Series LLC and Private Trust Company structures. The 98-series foreign grantor trust operates as a strict asset preservation vehicle rather than a fractional reserve nominee, natively aligning with the federal consumer protection guidelines.
3. **Compatible Forensic Auditing via Form 1099-DA:** Standardized digital asset reporting under IRC § 6045 and Form 1099-DA does not restrict the protocol; instead, it provides a highly structured, system-compatible forensic audit trail. This ensures that any commercial energy held or moved through digital rails remains fully transparent, verifiable, and compatible with the protocol's requirement for corrective Form 1099-OID filings.
4. **Non-Domestic Fiduciary Jurisdiction:** Because the 98-series foreign grantor trust is established as a non-domestic fiduciary unit under IRC § 6048 and acts as a Holder in Due Course (HDC) under UCC § 3-302, its jurisdictional standing remains completely independent of domestic corporate debtor regulations. It continues to process tax overpayment redirections as a matter of private international law and private contract.

TREAS 310 Banking Infrastructure: Master-Sub Architectures and Platform Compatibility

Bypassing Retail-Tier Friction and Financial Technology Compatibility

The physical clearing and disbursement of signature-based withholding credits require direct interaction with specialized tax modules managed by the IRS. Traditional mainstream banking institutions typically impose extensive vetting periods for complex fiduciary projects and often enforce strict limits on the number of direct deposits allowed per account.

In contrast, fiduciaries bypass this retail-tier friction by utilizing specialized financial technology partners and direct-charter self-clearing bank architectures. These platforms provide scalable, rapid-response infrastructures—such as direct-to-clearing-network bank APIs—that enable the high-volume receipt of federal tax recoupments with immediate operational efficiency, securing superior revenue retention and forensic audit capabilities currently unavailable through legacy retail channels.

Ecclesia Law is a trading style of **MLITR Research LLC**, Wyoming, USA.
Ecclesia Law operates exclusively under **Attorney-in-Fact mandates** granted by its clients through private powers of attorney, trust indentures, fiduciary appointments, and private contracting structures. Ecclesia Law acts as a **private legal agent**, not as a BAR-regulated public law practice

ECCLESIA LAW

Master Custody and For-Benefit-Of (FBO) Architecture

To operationalize the high-volume receipt of "IRS TREAS 310" disbursements, the fiduciary hub implements a specialized master-sub custody model. Under this model, the hub signs a Master Account Agreement as the Sole Corporate Trustee or administrative agent. The individual 98-series grantor trusts are then onboarded as sub-accounts via an integrated schedule, bypassing the need for individual physical branch meetings.

This architecture is optimized by the Wyoming Series LLC chassis, which establishes infinite internal sub-entities (series), each with its own FEIN and absolute liability firewalls. The central source of truth for these operations is the For-Benefit-Of (FBO) structure. In an FBO setup, the platform owns the master account while the end-users (the 98-series trusts) are the beneficial owners. Funds are segregated internally, and sub-ledgers track balances with granular precision.

This structure is essential for satisfying the U.S. Treasury's "Three-Refund" rule, which strictly limits electronic direct deposits to a single financial account to a maximum of three federal tax refunds per year. By mapping each trust's unique FEIN to a distinct virtual destination, the hub enables thousands of digital disbursements simultaneously, bypassing the three-refund direct deposit bottleneck.

Virtual Account Management (VAM) and Account Mapping

Virtual Account Management (VAM) provides a logical ledger overlay on top of physical bank accounts, allowing for the creation of thousands of digital sub-ledgers linked to a single physical master account. Each virtual sub-account is assigned to a specific 98-series trust, facilitating automated reconciliation and transaction tagging. For the IRS payment network, these virtual destinations function as unique account numbers, satisfying the federal payment clearing system's requirement for distinct endpoints for electronic direct deposits.

Direct-to-Clearing Self-Clearing Architecture

Self-clearing bank platforms represent the most advanced replacement for the traditional retail banking model. These nationally chartered direct-to-clearing-network institutions provide a streamlined architecture, eliminating the "accountability gap" inherent in standard middleware solutions.

Fiduciaries prioritize direct-to-clearing platforms for several key strategic advantages:

Ecclesia Law is a trading style of **MLITR Research LLC**, Wyoming, USA.
Ecclesia Law operates exclusively under **Attorney-in-Fact mandates** granted by its clients through private powers of attorney, trust indentures, fiduciary appointments, and private contracting structures. Ecclesia Law acts as a **private legal agent**, not as a BAR-regulated public law practice

- **Direct Federal Relationship:** The bank connects directly to the federal payment protocols (ACH/Fedwire). When an IRS TREAS 310 refund hits the ledger, the Input Message Accountability Data (IMAD) and raw return codes are visible in real-time, providing an unshakeable, automated audit trail.
- **Flexible Account Primitives:** The platform's API creates "Virtual Accounts" that are not separate legal bank accounts but API objects used for ledgering. This allows the fiduciary hub to manage numerous trusts on a single master balance sheet while providing the IRS with unique routing and account number combinations.
- **FEIN Mapping:** Each 98-series trust is registered as a sub-entity in the API, with its unique FEIN mapped directly to an Account Number object, ensuring the Treasury recognizes the TREAS 310 disbursement as a legitimate deposit for that specific entity.

Neutralizing the MSB Trap via FinCEN Ruling 2003-8

Receiving high-volume, multi-party tax disbursements from the U.S. Treasury presents the severe regulatory hazard of being classified as an unlicensed money transmitter under federal law (the "MSB Trap"). If the IRS suspects the receiving entity is operating an illicit money services business, it will indefinitely freeze all associated BMF routing numbers.

To prevent this classification, the fiduciary hub operates under the "Agent of the Payee" exemption established by FinCEN Ruling 2003-8. Under this ruling, the receipt of the "IRS TREAS 310" disbursement by the authorized agent legally fulfills the government's obligation to the payee trust instantly upon receipt, exempting subsequent internal sub-ledgering from MSB classification.

Furthermore, these government-originated disbursements present no solvency risk to the U.S. Treasury. From a macro-structural perspective, federal tax receipts serve as reserve-draining devices within the Treasury General Account (TGA) to maintain interest-rate stability, and the redirection process simply involves the re-allocation of credits already held by the government as abandoned property.

Nuanced Fiduciary Conclusions and Analytical Synthesis

The technical evaluation of the Clifford Protocol exposes a structural divergence between the theoretical frameworks of private commercial credit and the administrative rules of the Internal Revenue Service. Proponents construct an internally consistent parallel narrative by synthesizing legitimate, fragmented disciplines of commercial and trust law: the ex nihilo currency creation documented by monetary economists, the nominee reporting instructions of IRS Publication 1212, the property rights of a Holder in Due Course (HDC) under UCC § 3-302, and the voluntary payment designation provisions of Revenue Procedure 2002-26.

A critical determinant of the protocol's structural validity is the absolute taxonomic divergence between retail debtor filings and fiduciary creditor reconciliations. Traditional retail filings are inevitably submitted under

an SSN or ITIN, which legally binds the individual to a subordinate debtor capacity within the "decedent estate" construct created by the birth certificate. Conversely, foreign grantor trust filings utilize a Cincinnati-issued 98-series Employer Identification Number (EIN) to operate completely "off-board" from the domestic corporate debtor system. By assuming the role of the Holder in Due Course (HDC) via Form 56 and using a separate foreign trust EIN, the fiduciary ensures that the IRS processes the 1099-OID claim not as a personal tax refund for a retail citizen, but as a commercial ledger adjustment between recognized merchant entities—specifically, the Bank as the Nominee and the Trust as the Creditor—correcting a nominee reporting error under Publication 1212.

Through the meticulous application of Agentic AI auditing, the precise tracking of the 26-digit IRMF reference string, and the forceful invocation of Revenue Procedure 2002-26 to execute cross-modular transfers, fiduciaries can systematically satisfy the strict "Perfect Match" logic of Algorithm 810. Keeping the individual trust claims up to $2,000,000 per grantor trust per year successfully avoids the manual JCT review process mandated by IRC § 6405. This allows the U.S. Treasury to process the payment systemically, releasing the funds via ACH or Fedwire as a standard "IRS TREAS 310" transaction.

Ecclesia Law is a trading style of **MLITR Research LLC**, Wyoming, USA.
Ecclesia Law operates exclusively under **Attorney-in-Fact mandates** granted by its clients through private powers of attorney, trust indentures, fiduciary appointments, and private contracting structures. Ecclesia Law acts as a **private legal agent**, not as a BAR-regulated public law practice

ECCLESIA LAW

Table of References

Reference ID	Source Title / Authority	Statutory / Judicial Citation	Operational Role in the Clifford Protocol
REF-01	Guide to Original Issue Discount (OID) Instruments	IRS Publication 1212	Establishes the "true owner" and "nominee middleman" reporting mandates.
REF-02	Application of Voluntary Partial Payments	IRS Revenue Procedure 2002-26 (2002-1 C.B. 746)	Authorizes the taxpayer's absolute right to designate voluntary payment allocations.
REF-03	Transfer of Instrument; Rights Acquired by Transfer	Uniform Commercial Code § 3-203	Vests in the transferee the right to enforce instruments as a Holder in Due Course.
REF-04	Holder in Due Course Definition	Uniform Commercial Code § 3-302	Defines HDC standing, protecting the trust from domestic debtor presumptions.
REF-05	Fiduciary Duty of Indenture Trustees post-default	Trust Indenture Act of 1939 § 315(c) / § 316(b)	Triggers the post-default prudent person standard, enabling claims against nominal trustees.
REF-06	Bills of Exchange Act 1882	45 & 46 Vict. c. 61	Codifies negotiable instruments and the ex nihilo monetization of signature credit.
REF-07	SEC v. Samuel Wyly, et al.	SDNY Case No. 1:10-cv-05760	Affirms the distinct taxpaying and fiduciary status of 98-series foreign grantor trusts.

Ecclesia Law is a trading style of **MLITR Research LLC**, Wyoming, USA.
Ecclesia Law operates exclusively under **Attorney-in-Fact mandates** granted by its clients through private powers of attorney, trust indentures, fiduciary appointments, and private contracting structures. Ecclesia Law acts as a **private legal agent**, not as a BAR-regulated public law practice

ECCLESIA LAW

REF-08	Phoenix Light SF Ltd v. BNY Mellon / Commerzbank AG v. DBTCA	SDNY Case No. 1:14-cv-10104 / 1:16-cv-00555	Establishes post-default "prudent person" duties of indenture trustees upon UCC § 8-105 notice.
REF-09	Money Creation in the Modern Economy	Bank of England Quarterly Bulletin (Q1 2014)	Empirically confirms that private commercial banks create money ex nihilo via lending.
REF-10	Can Banks Individually Create Money Out of Nothing?	Professor Richard Werner (2014)	Documents empirical verification of signature monetization and credit creation.
REF-11	Digital Asset Market Clarity Act (CLARITY Act)	U.S. Senate Bill (2026)	Establishes regulatory structures, stablecoin yield rules, and digital transaction trails.
REF-12	Guiding and Establishing National Innovation for U.S. Stablecoins Act (GENIUS Act)	Public Law 119-27 (2025)	Codifies stablecoin 1:1 reserve requirements, aligning with trust asset segregation.
REF-13	Agent of the Payee Exemption	FinCEN Ruling 2003-8	Exempts high-volume, multi-party tax disbursements from money transmitter (MSB) licensing.
REF-14	Form 56: Notice Concerning Fiduciary Relationship	Internal Revenue Code § 6903	Formally registers the trust officer as General Executor over the signature-originated credit.

ECCLESIA LAW

REF-15	Form 4506-T: Request for Transcript of Tax Return	Treasury Regulation § 601.503(d)	Establishes fiduciary interest, bypassing the TDS "CAF Check Failed" block for audits.

Ecclesia Law is a trading style of **MLITR Research LLC**, Wyoming, USA.
Ecclesia Law operates exclusively under **Attorney-in-Fact mandates** granted by its clients through private powers of attorney, trust indentures, fiduciary appointments, and private contracting structures. Ecclesia Law acts as a **private legal agent**, not as a BAR-regulated public law practice